

( **CONFIDENTIAL** )

Approved For Release 2006/04/19 : CIA-RDP86-00674R000300030008-6

DD/A Registry

78-4299/1

14 NOV 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Operations  
(Attention: Eloise Page)

Deputy Director for Science and Technology  
(Attention: Ernest J. Zellmer)

Director, National Foreign Assessment Center  
(Attention: Sayre Stevens)

General Counsel  
(Attention: )

25X1

FROM: Michael J. Malanick  
Acting Deputy Director for Administration

SUBJECT: Implementation of E.O. 12065

Attached for your review are the following documents developed by the Classification/Declassification, Markings and Training Task Forces for the proposed implementation of E.O. 12065:

Tab A - Regulation - Responding to the new requirements contained in E.O. 12065 will require that changes be made in a number of existing Agency regulations. Because of the time frame involved, only those items considered to be of prime importance have been addressed and are ready for approval at this time.

Tab B - Implementation Handbook - Except for two noted exceptions, the handbook that has been developed should contain all the information Agency employees need to understand and implement the requirements established by E.O. 12065.

The two exceptions cited above are mandatory review, which is covered briefly in paragraph 16 of HHB  and in complete detail in HHB  which is being revised, and safeguarding, which will be covered in the HR- series. References to HHB  are included in this Handbook and similar references on the HR- series will be included when provided by the Safeguarding Task Force.

25X1

25X1

25X1

( **CONFIDENTIAL** )

Approved For Release 2006/04/19 : CIA-RDP86-00674R000300030008-6

Unclassified when Detached  
from Attachments



SUBJECT: Implementation of E.O. 12065

Tab C - Issues Paper - In developing various portions of the Implementation Plan, a number of issues arose on which the task forces -

- a. did not feel qualified to make recommendations
- b. made recommendations which they felt deserved special attention, and
- c. were unable to reach total agreement.

Tab D - Waivers Paper - The Markings Task Force considered ten requests from the Directorates for waivers from the mandatory portion marking requirements of E.O. 12065 and the ISCOO directive. The task force recommended that one of the waiver requests be forwarded to ISCOO for approval, and that eight of the requests not be forwarded. The task force could not reach a decision on one of the requests.

In addition to the above, the following two major items are in the final stages of completion but have not been included in this review package:

Classification Guides -

have been prepared for each Directorate and are now in process of being approved by the respective Directorate heads.

Training Film -

is in the final stages of preparation and scheduled showings throughout the Agency are to start on 20 November 1978.

Given the tight time schedule on which we are operating, it is requested that you review the attached material and provide me by COB 21 November 1978, your written comments on major problems you have in approving the procedures proposed for implementing the new order. It is planned that the Task Forces would immediately commence working on resolving the problems identified so that we can hold our final review and approval meeting at 1400 on 27 November 1978 in 7D-32.

/s/ Michael J. Malanick  
Michael J. Malanick

Attachments:  
As stated



**CONFIDENTIAL**

Approved For Release 2006/04/19 : CIA-RDP86-00674R000300030008-6

SUBJECT: Implementation of E.O. 12065

**Distribution:**

One - Each Addressee

1 - DDA Subject

1 - DDA Chrono

1 - AI/DDA

1 - C/ISAS

1 - RAB Subject

1 - RAB Chrono

DDA/ISAS  ad (14 November 1978)

25X1

Approved For Release 2006/04/19 : CIA-RDP86-00674R000300030008-6

**CONFIDENTIAL**



**DRAFT**

INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT

HR ☐

STAT

### 3. AGENCY INFORMATION SECURITY PROGRAM

**SYNOPSIS:** This regulation implements Executive Order 12065 within the Agency. It establishes the Agency program for classifying, downgrading, declassifying, marking, and safeguarding national security information.

#### a. INTRODUCTION

- (1) Except as provided in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, Executive Order 12065, National Security Information, provides the only basis for classifying information.
- (2) The National Security Council may review all matters concerning the implementation of Executive Order 12065 ("the Order"), and the NSC provides overall policy direction for the Government information security program.
- (3) The Administrator of General Services is responsible for implementing and monitoring the information security program established by the Order. This responsibility is delegated to the Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO), which has a full-time Director appointed by the Administrator subject to approval by the President. The Administrator also has authority to appoint the ISOO staff.
- (4) The ISOO Director develops, in consultation with the agencies that handle classified information, and promulgates, subject to National Security Council approval, directives for the implementation of the Order which are binding on the agencies. He oversees the agencies' actions to ensure compliance with the Order and implementing directives. He considers and takes action on complaints and suggestions from persons within or outside the Government regarding the administration of the information security program.
- (5) The ISOO Director chairs the Interagency Information Security Committee (IISC), which is comprised of representatives of the Secretaries of State, Defense, Treasury, and Energy, the Attorney General, the Director of Central Intelligence, the National Security Council, the Domestic Policy Staff, and the Archivist of the United States. The Committee meets at the call of the Chairman or at the request of a member agency, and advises the chairman on implementation of the Order.
- (6) The Attorney General, upon request by the head of an agency, his duly designated representative, or the ISOO Director, will personally or through authorized representatives of the Department of Justice render an interpretation of the Order with respect to any question arising in the course of its administration.

#### b. GENERAL

- (1) The provisions of this regulation apply to the Central Intelligence Agency and the Office of the Director of Central Intelligence hereinafter referred to as the "Agency".
- (2) This regulation establishes, effective 1 December 1978, the Agency information security program pursuant to Executive Order 12065 and related ISOO directives.
- (3) The program shall be executed in full conformity with the Order, the National Security Act of 1947, as amended (50 U.S.C.), and The Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949, as amended (50 U.S.C. 403a et seq.), as well as with other applicable provisions of law, regulations, and directives.
- (4) The provisions of any previously published regulatory issuance inconsistent with the provisions of this regulation are superseded.
- (5) A copy of this regulation, other regulations adopted to carry out this program, and Agency guidelines for systematic declassification review adopted pursuant to this program will be submitted to the ISOO. To the extent practicable, such regulations and guidelines should be unclassified. The ISOO Director will require any regulation or guideline to be changed if it is not consistent with the Order or implementing directives.



STAT  
HR

INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT

Any such decision by the ISOO Director may be appealed to the National Security Council. The regulation or guideline will remain in effect until the appeal is decided or until one year from the date of the ISOO Director's decision, whichever occurs first.

- (6) Unclassified regulations that establish Agency information security policy and unclassified guidelines for systematic declassification review will be published in the Federal Register.
- (7) The ISOO Director has the authority to conduct on-site reviews of the Agency information security program and to require such reports, information, and other cooperation as necessary to fulfill his responsibilities. If such reports, inspection, or access to specific categories of classified information would pose an exceptional national security risk, the DCI may deny access. The ISOO Director may appeal such denials to the National Security Council. The denial will remain in effect until the appeal is decided or until one year from the date of the denial, whichever occurs first.

c. **POLICY AND PROCEDURES.** HHB [ ] implements the program established by this regulation and should be used in conjunction with this regulation and other regulatory issuances published pursuant to the program.

d. **RESPONSIBILITIES**

- (1) The Deputy Director for Administration is responsible for conducting an active oversight program to ensure effective implementation of the Order, and for chairing an Agency committee with authority to act on all suggestions and complaints with respect to the administration of the Agency information security program. The DDA may redelegate these responsibilities in writing.
- (2) The Assistant for Information, DDA is responsible for general management of the information security program. He is the Agency representative to the Interagency Information Security Committee and is the Agency focal point for contact with the Information Security Oversight Office. On information security program matters, he is the focal point for contact with the National Security Council and, through the Office of General Counsel, the Department of Justice.
- (3) The Director of Security is responsible for the safeguarding provisions of the program, as specified in HR [ ]
- (4) The Chief, Information Systems Analysis Staff, DDA is responsible for the classification and systematic declassification review provisions of the program, as specified in HR [ ]
- (5) The Chief, Information and Privacy Staff, DDA is responsible for the mandatory declassification review provisions of the program, as specified [ ]



25X1

Approved For Release 2006/04/19 : CIA-RDP86-00674R000300030008-6

Next 26 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2006/04/19 : CIA-RDP86-00674R000300030008-6



ISSUES PAPER

A. Centralization of Authority

One of the aims in the drafting of HR [ ] and HHB [ ] was to centralize to the optimum, responsibility for implementing and directing the program required by the new Executive Order. As a result, the following officials have been identified as having responsibility for:

Deputy Director for Administration

HR [ ] - Conducting an active Oversight Program to ensure effective implementation of E.O. 12065.

HR [ ] - Chairing an Agency committee with authority to act on all suggestions and complaints with respect to the Agency's administration of the Information Security Program.

Assistant for Information to the Deputy Director for Administration

HR [ ] - Maintaining liaison with the Information Security Oversight Office.

Agency Security Classification Officer/ISAS/DDA

HHB [ ] Paragraph 8.b - Serving as the focal point for all Agency component requests for Top Secret, Secret, Confidential and Derivative classification authority, including the preparation of consolidated submissions for DCI approval of those requests for Top Secret authority considered to be adequately justified.

Paragraphs 12B, footnotes 1 and 3; 12B1, footnotes 1 and 3; 12B2, footnote 1; and 12G1 - Approving any alternative methods of marking.



Although some questions were raised on the proposed role of the Agency Security Classification Officer, it was considered that centralized control is required in both of the above areas to ensure that uniform practices are followed and to enable the Agency to meet the accountability requirements of E.O. 12065.

B. Markings - Paragraph 12b(1)(2) and g(2)

1. Markings Required on Face of Paper Copies

Paragraph 12b(1) deals with markings that "shall be shown on the face of all paper copies of originally classified documents at the time of origin". This requirement caused particular problems for NFAC and DDS&T who for aesthetic reasons print all markings (except level of classification on the inside front cover. We have allowed for this to continue; however, it is in conflict with the Order.

2. Unique Identifiers

The classification markings proposed for original or derivatively classified documents may, because of their uniqueness, tend to identify any document so marked as being of CIA origin. The only apparent solution for this problem would have been for the Intelligence Community, or the Government as a whole, to have agreed upon the use of standard classification markings. This situation was not considered to be any more serious than the similar situation under E.O. 11652 when the Agency used the unique abbreviation "E 2 IMPDET" when State Department, for example, used "XGDS2".



C. Forms - Paragraph 12G4

Specifies that existing stocks of pre-marked forms may be used until depleted or until 1 December 1979, whichever is sooner. E.O. 12065 does not allow for such actions; but to revise, print and issue the approximate one thousand pre-marked forms currently in use was, in addition to the costs involved, considered to be an administrative impossibility.

D. Category g Items - Paragraph 9g

Classification requirements category (g) - "other categories of information which are related to national security and which require protection against unauthorized disclosure...".

Several Directorates submitted items to be classified under the above cited "catch all" category provided for in E.O. 12065. The drafters of HHB ☐ felt strongly that except for personal jeopardy, all other items submitted could and should be covered under one of the other a through f categories of the Order. Failure to do so, it was felt, would generally weaken the entire Classification Guide package and bring the Agency into direct conflict with IS00 over this issue. As a result, the decision was made to exclude the items in question from being placed under category g and raise this action as an issue requiring final resolution.

E. Handbook

There was not total agreement among the four task forces created to deal with E.O. 12065 as to whether procedures dealing with the implementation of the new order should be published as a separate handbook or be made a part of other Regulatory Issuances.



Three task forces (Markings, Classification/Declassification/Mandatory Review, and Training) felt that the use of a single handbook which was entirely devoted to the implementation of the new order would provide the ultimate user with the most convenient and most readily useable source information on the entire subject.

The Safeguarding Task Force felt that a separate handbook was not the best approach and opted for publishing their procedures in other Regulatory Issuances.

The end result is that the proposed handbook deals in detail with all aspects of implementing the new order except for safeguarding. Safeguarding has been included as a separate chapter but will only refer to the appropriate Agency Regulations where specific information can be found.

F. In the following three instances, the proposed CIA implementing directives impose more restrictive operating procedures than those required by E.O. 12065.

1. Paragraph 12b(2) -

Requires that the identity of all employees exercising derivative classification authority be noted on each document they classify through use of an employee number or other approved identifier. This requirement is considered necessary to ensure that individuals exercising derivative classification authority be held responsible for their actions.

2. Paragraph 11e -

It is proposed that when Classification Guides are approved and issued, original classifiers use their original classification authority only when applicable Classification Guides do not cover the information being classified.



3. Paragraph 11g -

Requires that the DDCI approve the application and use of the four Classification Guides as the Central Intelligence Agency Classification Guide, although the Executive Order allows for approval by any official with Top Secret classification authority.

Such approval by the DDCI is considered necessary to establish that the Agency has an official Classification Guide; that all parts of this Guide are as consistent as possible; and that all problems associated with the development and use of Classification Guides are resolved as quickly as possible.

G. Availability and Use of All Classification Guides throughout the entire Agency - Paragraph 11.i.

It is recognized that certain portions of the Classification Guides may be considered so sensitive by the originating component that their distribution to, or use by, other components of the Agency should be restricted. Therefore, it may become necessary to establish some type of mechanism to handle the needed exchange of Classification Guides throughout the Agency.

H. Which Employees Will be Authorized to Use Classification Guides - Paragraph 6.d.

Because the unrestricted use of Classification Guides by all Agency employees does not seem prudent, it is proposed that Directorates and Independent Offices will have to determine within their jurisdiction those individuals who have a legitimate need to exercise derivative classification authority. Furthermore until 1 April 1979 Paragraph 11d restricts the use of Classification Guides to only those individuals

Approved For Release 2006/04/19 : CIA-RDP86-00674R000300030008-6

having original classification authority.



I. Where and when will responsibility be placed, within CIA and the Intelligence Community, for developing the special procedures for systematic review and declassification of classified information concerning the identities of clandestine human agents that the Director of Central Intelligence may establish?

J. When will SECOM provide the procedures required for SCI?



**CONFIDENTIAL**

WAIVERS PAPER

1. Executive Order 12065 requires that each classified document indicate which of its paragraphs or other portions, including subjects and titles, are classified and which are unclassified. The intent is to eliminate uncertainty as to which portions of a document contain information that must be protected, and to facilitate excerpting and declassification review. The symbol "(TS)" for Top Secret, "(S)" for Secret, "(C)" for Confidential, or "(U)" for Unclassified is to be placed immediately following the portion of text to which it applies.

2. The IS00 implementing directive provides that if individual portion marking is impracticable the document must contain a description sufficient to identify the information that is classified and the level of its classification. This alternative method of portion marking can be accomplished by including a statement as the last paragraph of the document or as a footnote, e.g., "Paragraphs 1, 2, and 4 are Secret, all other portions Unclassified", or if the entire document is classified at the same level, "All portions of this document are (Classification)".

3. Waivers from these mandatory portion marking requirements may be granted only by the Director, IS00. The attached ten requests for waivers were submitted by the directorates to the Markings Task Force, whose recommendations are set forth below. In summary, the task force recommends that one waiver request be forwarded to IS00, and that eight waiver requests not be forwarded--five because they are not necessary, and three because they are not justifiable. The task force could not reach a decision on one waiver request.

a. DIRECTORATE OF ADMINISTRATION

ADP Data Bases and Computer Generated Reports

RECOMMENDATION: That this waiver request be forwarded to IS00.

b. NATIONAL FOREIGN ASSESSMENT CENTER

(1) President's Daily Brief

RECOMMENDATION: The Markings Task Force could not reach a decision on this waiver request. It was recognized that the lack of portion marking in the PDB would cause fewer problems than with other reports, since the PDB receives very limited dissemination and is not used as the basis for classifying other documents. It was also recognized that portion marking the PDB would be difficult because of its tight production schedule; but some task force members believed that portion marking would be

**CONFIDENTIAL**



**CONFIDENTIAL**

practicable. Finally, it was recognized that portion-marking the PDB might cause some slight delay in getting the report to the President; but on the other hand, it was the President who signed Executive Order 12065, and exempting the PDB could easily be construed as the President exempting himself from his own rules.

(2) National Intelligence Estimates

(3) Certain Short Deadline Papers

RECOMMENDATION: That these waiver requests not be forwarded to ISOO. A waiver is not justifiable. The Markings Task Force believed that these documents should be portion marked.

c. DIRECTORATE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

(1) Special Compartmented Information

RECOMMENDATION: That this waiver request not be forwarded to ISOO. A waiver is not justifiable. The Executive Order makes no special provision regarding the portion marking of special access program information as to its classification level. Even if the separate parts or portions of SCI material are not subject to being treated outside the special access channel, they must be marked for use within such channel.

(2) Translations of Original-Language Classified Documents

RECOMMENDATION: That this waiver request not be forwarded to ISOO. A waiver is not necessary. A direct translation need only carry forward the protection provided for in the source document.

(3) Preprinted Forms

RECOMMENDATION: That this waiver request not be forwarded to ISOO. A waiver is not necessary. The Agency's record copy file for each form will indicate which items are the basis for its classification. Each form is itself the segregable portion of a larger data base.

(4) Serial Publications and Other Analytical Reports Based on Foreign Media

RECOMMENDATION: That this waiver request not be forwarded to ISOO. A waiver is not necessary. Portion marking of these documents can be accomplished by the alternative method of stating on them that "Each individual portion of this document is unclassified."

**CONFIDENTIAL**



CONFIDENTIAL

d. DIRECTORATE OF OPERATIONS

- (1) Foreign Intelligence Information Reports
- (2) Internal Operational Correspondence

RECOMMENDATION: That these waiver requests not be forwarded to IS00. A waiver is not necessary. Portion marking of these documents can be accomplished by the alternative method of stating on them that "all portions of this document are (classification level)", if this is indeed the case.

Attachments

CONFIDENTIAL



10 SEP 1976

PROPOSAL FOR  
WAIVER FROM PORTIONAL MARKING REQUIREMENT

1. An ADP data base will be classified as an entity using criteria in Section 1-3. All reports from a data base will carry the same classification and be marked in accordance with Section 1-501. Text files containing paragraphs that will be used in producing documents must be portionally marked.

2. Computerized data bases contain data that range from unclassified through Top Secret. The resources required to portionally mark each data item, keep such a data element current (the classification of the data changes with circumstance<sup>85</sup>) and to automatically classify reports based on the classification of those data items is substantial. The cost of doing this is not in the public interest.

3. Often data bases include individual items that when processed or reported together have a higher degree of classification. The computer technology is not such that we can identify and program all such relationships so that computer-generated reports using such data can be properly classified. The same determination used in classifying a computer data base is used for classifying reports derived from that data base.

4. Computer reports are generally only disseminated internally to the Agency and they are used for administrative and research purposes.

5. Documents produced using data from a computer data base will be classified under the same criteria as the data base.



~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

NFAC

4112-78

25 SEP 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information Systems Analysis  
Staff, DDA

FROM :   
Associate Director-Management, NFAC

SUBJECT : Classification Waiver Request

REFERENCE : Memo from Associate Deputy Director  
for Administration, dtd 19 Sept 78,  
to Multiple Add'ees

NFAC requests a waiver from the portion classification requirement of E.O. 12065 for the following publications:

(1) The President's Daily Brief. This publication is read only by the President, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Defense, and the President's Assistant for National Security. Many diverse sources are used to compose the contents, often under extreme deadline pressure late at night. The few recipients have no need to be informed of the separate classifications, if any, of the component parts. The classification of material in the PDB is not used as the basis for classifying other documents.

(2) National Intelligence Estimates. The nature of these documents, as much as the sources of the component parts, often determines the classification. NIEs represent the judgments of the DCI and the Intelligence Community, and have security significance as such. Portion classification could therefore be misleading: components would bear a classification either reflecting only the source material but not the significance of the conclusion drawn or, conversely, reflecting the

25X1

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



**CONFIDENTIAL**

SUBJECT: Classification Waiver Request

judgment but implying that the source material dictated the classification. The NIEs are disseminated to a restricted elite list of customers, depending on the subject and any special controls. Judgments and information in NIEs may form a basis for classifying other documents such as NSC studies.

(3) Certain short deadline papers.  
On occasion, it is necessary for NFAC to prepare short analytical papers or biographic profiles for policymakers who set deadlines of only a few hours. Rechecking sources of individual elements to separately classify portions could imperil our meeting the requests on time. Such papers are targeted to a few designated consumers--sometimes only one--and would rarely be the basis for classification of other documents.



25X1

**CONFIDENTIAL**



27 SEP 1978

DDS&T-4723-78

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information Systems Analysis  
Staff, DDA

SUBJECT : Implementation of EO 12065 - Classification  
Waiver Possibility

REFERENCE : A/DDA Memo 78-3659, Dated 19 September 1978

Attached is the DDS&T request for exemptions from  
portion classification marking per Reference.



Executive Officer  
Directorate of  
Science and Technology

STAT

Attachment:  
As stated



DDS&T Classification Waiver Request

The DDS&T requests the following be exempted from portion classification marking under Section 1-504 of EO 12065:

1. All classified information (so defined in EO 12065) to include documents, equipment, film, visual aids, tapes and other recordings, which is further protected by special access programs in accordance with EO 12065, Section 4-2.

The EO 12065, Section 4-2 recognizes a requirement for the establishment of "special access programs...for the protection of particularly sensitive classified information..." The order further states that the special access programs are permitted when "normal management and safeguarding procedures are not sufficient to limit need-to-know or access...and the special access controls balance the need to protect the information against the full spectrum of needs to use the information."

Explicit recognition by the Executive Order of categories of classified information which must be further restricted for access, distribution, and protection in special controlled channels clearly implies that because of the nature and sensitivity of such information its separate parts or portions are not subject to being treated outside of the special access channel.

As a result the usefulness of portion classification "in order to facilitate excerpting" (Section 1-504) does not apply to classified information protected in special access programs or channels.

2. All translations of original-language classified documents, except those where the original-language document uses portion classification. Translators have no knowledge on which to judge portion classification.

3. All pre-printed forms which provide for classification when filled in. Examples of such forms are travel requests, financial vouchers, and fitness reports.



Pre-printed forms are used to expedite actions and provide for efficient and economical operations in the conduct of business. Pre-printed forms normally replace explanatory memorandums, often of a repetitive nature. To classify each line or block in a form is unnecessarily burdensome, may require a complete redesign of a large number of forms at a high cost and since forms are basically used for a single purpose do not lend themselves to excerpting for other uses.

4. All serial publications such as FBIS "Trends in Communist Media" and other analytical reports which are based on foreign media addressing critical current issues in foreign relations of the United States.

Although it is recognized that reporting of media information and analytical reports on media information are unclassified, the inherent fact that the individual media items have been selected for policy makers primarily based on known requirements, sensitive concerns, international developments, and crisis situations lends considerable authoritative credence to the reports and reflects official U.S. attitudes, interests and meaning beyond the mere unclassified nature of individual items.

As a result we propose that portion marking of individual media items, being unclassified, is useless, but the overall compilation of media items reflect current official U.S. government foreign relations interest and will carry an appropriate classification until such time as the currency and possible sensitiveness of the media coverage is no longer valid. Normally we have found this period of time to be about six months.



27 SEP 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information Systems  
Analysis Staff, DDA

FROM: Eloise R. Page  
Acting Associate Deputy Director for Operations

SUBJECT: Implementation of Executive Order 12065  
--- Classification Waiver Possibility

REFERENCE: ADDA Memorandum for the DDO,  
Dated 19 September 1978, Same Subject

It is requested that a waiver from the requirement for portion classification marking be requested from the Director of the Information Security Oversight Office in accordance with paragraph 1-504 of Executive Order 12065 for the following classes of documents originated by the Directorate of Operations:

1. Foreign Intelligence Information Reports.

a. These reports provide original data collected in response to the expressed needs of the Intelligence Community. Each report carries an unclassified identification number. These reports are on a single subject and normally from a single clandestine foreign source or cooperating U. S. citizen. Exposure of the information itself or unprotected reference to the existence of the information in U. S. Government possession can jeopardize the source or his ability or willingness to continue to provide information. Because of the inseparability of the information from the sensitivity of its method of collection, these reports should be classified as whole documents.

b. Furthermore, because these reports provide original data used in the production of finished intelligence, they are subject to indexing, filing and incorporation in computerized



information handling systems in receiving offices and agencies. Compilations of such data can reveal much concerning the ability of the Directorate of Operations to collect and report foreign intelligence. For that reason, the entire report must be protected by a single classification to prevent the creation of unclassified indexes or files in receiving agencies.

c. Original dissemination of these reports is made selectively on a need-to-know basis. Individual reports may be limited to a very few individuals in Washington while others may be transmitted to Intelligence Community agencies in Washington and overseas to selected U. S. embassies and U. S. military commands.

d. Because these reports contain information collected in response to the expressed needs of the Intelligence Community and because they may concern almost any country and almost any subject, they may form the basis for classification for many intelligence publications. It should be recognized, however, that intelligence publications most often draw on information from many agencies and as a consequence Directorate of Operations reports very frequently do not constitute the sole basis for their classification.

## 2. Directorate of Operations Internal Operational Correspondence.

a. This is correspondence between various elements of the Directorate in the U. S. and overseas concerning management, operations and administration of the Directorate. (By definition this kind of correspondence does not contain foreign intelligence which is disseminated to other agencies.) Each piece of correspondence carries an identification number. The whole of this correspondence concerns intelligence activities, sources or methods.



b. This correspondence, whether transmitted in hard copy or electrically, is disseminated only within CIA and is very largely confined to the Directorate of Operations.

c. This correspondence, by itself, does not form the basis for classification of other documents.



Eloise R. Page

STAT